

Disability Rights Legal Center  
800 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 1120  
Los Angeles, CA 90017

E-FILED: 5/18/2015

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

|                                    |   |
|------------------------------------|---|
| GREATER LOS ANGELES AGENCY         | ) Case No. 2:13-cv-07172-PSG-(ASx)            |
| ON DEAFNESS, INC. and              | )   |
| ANTOINETTE ABBAMONTE, on           | )   |
| behalf of themselves               | ) <del>[PROPOSED]</del> <b>ORDER GRANTING</b> |
| and all others similarly situated, | ) <b>PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL</b>         |
|                                    | ) <b>APPROVAL OF CLASS</b>                    |
| Plaintiffs,                        | ) <b>SETTLEMENT AND FOR AN</b>                |
| v.                                 | ) <b>AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES</b>             |
|                                    | ) <b>AND EXPENSES</b>                         |
| REEL SERVICES MANAGEMENT,          | )   |
| LLC dba KRIKORIAN PREMIERE         | ) Judge: Honorable Phillip S. Gutierrez       |
| THEATRES, LLC, AND DOES 1-10,      | )   |
| Inclusive,                         | )   |
|                                    | )   |
| Defendants.                        | )   |

---

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT AND FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES

1 Based on consideration of Plaintiffs' moving papers, the arguments of counsel,  
2 and the proceedings in this action to date, the Court hereby finds and concludes that:

- 3 1. The Class Notice distributed to Class Members, pursuant to this Court's  
4 prior order, was accomplished in all material requests and fully met the  
5 requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, due process, and any  
6 other applicable laws.
- 7 2. The Class Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate in all  
8 respects. The Class Settlement Agreement provides meaningful relief  
9 and is reasonably related to the strength of Plaintiffs' and Class Members  
10 claims given the risk, expense, complexity, and direction of further  
11 litigation. The Class Settlement is the result of arms'-length negotiations  
12 between experienced counsel representing the interests of the Plaintiff  
13 Class and Defendant, after thorough factual and legal investigation.

14  
15 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 16 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation and all  
17 matters relating thereto, and over the Plaintiffs and Defendants. Venue is  
18 proper in the Central District;
- 19 2. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e), this Court grants final  
20 approval to the Class Settlement Agreement, incorporates the terms of the Class  
21 Settlement Agreement into this order as though fully set forth, and orders all  
22 parties to perform all of their obligations thereunder;
- 23 3. This order and the Class Settlement are binding against the parties, their  
24 successors in office, and their respective officers, agents, and employees, and all  
25 others acting in concert with them;
- 26 4. The Court retains exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over this case, the  
27 Named Plaintiffs, the Plaintiff Class, and Defendants for purposes of  
28 supervising and resolving issues relating to administration, implementation, and

1 enforcement of the Class Settlement Agreement, its terms, or the enforcement  
2 thereof; and fashioning appropriate remedies for any violation of that Class  
3 Agreement, until Defendant completes installation of closed captioning devices  
4 at all its movie theater locations.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6  
7  
8  
9 DATED: 5/18, 2015

**PHILIP S. GUTIERREZ**

HONORABLE PHILIP S. GUTIERREZ

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Disability Rights Legal Center  
800 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 1120  
Los Angeles, CA 90017